

REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action dated April 17, 2008, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on July 17, 2008 and the advisory action mailed July 10, 2008. Claim 1 has been amended to include a particular limitation from claim 13. Accordingly, Applicants believe no new matter has been introduced by the amendment presented herein. The amendment has been made to put the claims in condition for allowance or in better condition for an appeal. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 10, 12-13 and 15-17 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Embedded Linux in a Soft Real-Time Task: The Canadian Geological Survey Internet Seismometer by Arescon, September 2001 (“Arescon”) in view of US Publication No. 2002/0071430 (“Szyszko”). Claim 1 has been amended to include a limitation from claim 13. More specifically, claim 1 now includes “a location mapping service for generating a mapping between network addresses of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources and physical locations of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources.” Accordingly, no new matter is added by this amendment. Applicants respectfully submit that this limitation is not taught in Arescon and Szyszko.

The Examiner takes the position that this limitation is described on pages 9-10 of Arescon. (See Office Action, page 3). Applicants respectfully disagree. The closest reference to this limitation in pages 9-10 is the following sentence: “Thus, the network configuration and the **station identifiers** can be set for example, by simply connecting a Palm-Pilot to the instrument.” (See page 10, lines 2-3) (Emphasis added). Nothing is mentioned here about generating a mapping between network addresses and physical locations of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources. Even if “station identifiers” is to be construed as encompassing both the network addresses and the physical locations”, nothing in those pages mention, or even allude to, generating a mapping between the network addresses and the physical locations. Thus, claim 1 is patentable over Arescon and Szyszko. Claims

2, 4, 6, 10, 12-13 and 15-17 are also patentable over Arescon and Szyszko since they depend from claim 1. Allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arescon in view of Szyszko and Eos Tans. AGU Fall Meeting, 2001 by Johnson ("Johnson"). Neither Arescon, nor Szyszko nor Johnson, alone or in combination, teaches a location mapping service for generating a mapping between network addresses of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources and physical locations of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources, as recited in claim 1. Since claims 3 and 5 depend from claim 1 and since neither Arescon, nor Szyszko nor Johnson teaches all the limitations of claim 1, claims 3 and 5 are therefore also patentable over Arescon, Szyszko and Johnson. Allowance of these claims is also respectfully requested.

Claims 7-8 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arescon in view of Szyszko in view of US Patent No. 4885724 (Read). Neither Arescon nor Szyszko nor Read, alone or in combination, teaches or discloses a location mapping service for generating a mapping between network addresses of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources and physical locations of the data collection system, the router, the data source nodes, and the seismic data sources, as recited in claim 1. Since claims 7-8 depend from claim 1 and since neither Arescon nor Szyszko nor Read, alone or in combination, teaches, discloses or suggests all the limitations of claim 1, claims 7-8 are therefore also patentable over Arescon, Szyszko and Read. Allowance of these claims is also respectfully requested.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, neither alone nor in combination, teach, show, or suggest the claimed invention. Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ari Pramudji/ August 1, 2008

Ari Pramudji

Registration No. 45,022

PRAMUDJI WENDT & TRAN, LLP

1800 Bering, Suite 540

Houston, Texas 77057

Telephone: (713) 468-4600

Facsimile: (713) 980-9882

Attorney for Assignee